

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/563,374	AMANO ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AMJAD ABRAHAM	1791	

All Participants:

(1) AMJAD ABRAHAM

(2) Kentaro Higvuchi

Date of Interview: 20 April 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 11.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called applicant to cancel claim 11 via examiner's amendment. DAN TANNER stated the claim 11 was canceled.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/AMJAD ABRAHAM/
Examiner, Art Unit 1791

Status of Application: Pending

(3) Dan Tanner

(4) _____

Time: 12:00 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)